

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,189	03/17/2004	Youichi Okubo	09253-008001	3432
26161 7	7590 11/16/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			SWARTHOUT, BRENT	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10, 1111 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		2612	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V
-
W

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/802,189	OKUBO, YOUICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brent A. Swarthout	2612	

Advisory Action	10/802,189	OKUBO, YOUICHI				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brent A. Swarthout	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the appea	ate ıl.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324	/) .			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling	ng			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation	of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	lotice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entere vit or other evidence is necessary	∌d ∕			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	0. 4 & A.A				
	BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER	Brent A Swarthout Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2612				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Saheki teaches desirability of switching from one lpower level to another based on whether a vehicle is moving or not. Robinson also teaches desirability of increasing power level from a non-zero sleep mode power to a greater power when it is desired to transmit data from a tire sensing device in a vehicle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to reduce power level to a non-zero sleep mode level as suggested by Robinson in a device as disclosed by Saheki, in order to allow power to be increased more readily once vehicle motion was detected, instead of having to power up from an off condition.